


Exhibit C




George R. Hodges
United States Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLOTTE DIVISION

In Re:) Case No. 10-31607
) Chapter 11
)
GARLOCK SEALING TECHNOLOGIES LLC,) Jointly Administered
et al.,)
)
Debtor.)
)

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF INFORMATION FROM COUNSEL
REPRESENTING GARLOCK CLAIMANTS**

This matter is before the court on the Motion of Debtors for an Order Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2004 Directing Production of Information from Counsel Representing Garlock Claimants (the "Motion") (Docket No. 1087); the Response of Cascino Vaughan Asbestos Claimants (Docket No. 1137); the Opposition of the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants (Docket No. 1142); and debtors' Reply thereto (Docket

No. 1163). The court has concluded that the debtors' Motion should be denied.

Debtors' Motion seeks the aggregate tort recoveries and aggregate trust recoveries received by mesothelioma plaintiffs who made claims against the debtors during the period 1995 to 2010. The information seeks those claimants' aggregate recoveries against co-defendants of the debtors, and the discovery is directed to 70 law firms who represent thousands of such claimants.

The court has concluded that the debtors' Motion should be denied for the following reasons:

1. First, the discovery would be an unprecedented intrusion into attorneys' practices and files. The subjects of the discovery are the attorneys who represented claimants against co-defendants of the debtors. They are not parties to this proceeding and were not parties to those proceedings. They are the professionals who represented those parties, and as such, their records are protected from this discovery.

2. Second, the discovery seeks information that is generally and traditionally held secret. The information sought is settlement data from third parties. That information is protected by those parties and subject to confidentiality agreements.

3. Finally, the information sought is not available to the debtors outside of bankruptcy. Except in rare circumstances, co-defendant settlement information is not available to the debtors in the tort system. The existence of this proceeding should not change that.

The court believes that these reasons alone require denial of the debtors' Motion. The parties have also argued other points involving relevance, need, relative burden and appropriate Rules. The court expresses no opinion on those matters at this time.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Motion of Debtors for an Order Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2004 Directing Production of Information from Counsel Representing Garlock Claimants is **denied**.

This Order has been signed electronically.
The Judge's signature and Court's seal
appear at the top of the Order.

United States Bankruptcy Court